

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

JAMES MCGIBNEY, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
THOMAS RETZLAFF, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [14-cv-01059-BLF](#)

**ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANT
LIPTON'S EVIDENTIARY
OBJECTIONS**


[Re: ECF 32]

Civil Local Rule 7-3(c) provides that “[a]ny evidentiary and procedural objections to the opposition must be contained within the reply brief or memorandum.” Civ. L.R. 7-3(c). Defendant Lane Lipton’s nine page “Evidentiary Objections to Plaintiffs’ Evidence,” (ECF 32), filed separately from her reply brief and incorporated by reference therein, violates this rule and is accordingly STRICKEN.

If Defendant Lipton wishes to preserve her evidentiary objections, she may file a revised reply brief within **ten (10) days** of the date of this order. Any revised brief may not contain new argument and must comply with the formatting requirements and page limits set forth in the local rules. *See* Civ. L.R. 3-4, 7-3(c).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 14, 2014


BETH LABSON FREEMAN
United States District Judge